

## METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH

## METHODOLOGY



Leger, the largest Canadian-owned polling and marketing research firm, in collaboration with The Canadian Press, conducted this web survey with a representative sample of 1,537 Canadians and 1,007 Americans over the age of 18, selected from LEO's (Leger Opinion) representative panel. The questionnaire consisted of 25 questions and was completed in 10 minutes on average. Data collection took place from November 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}, \mathbf{2 0 2 2}$, to November 13 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$, 2022, via Computer-Assisted Web Interviewing technology (CAWI).

Using 2016 Census reference variables, the Canadian data was then analyzed and weighted by our statisticians according to gender, age, mother tongue, region, education level, and presence of children in households. Using 2010 U.S. Census reference variables, the American data was then analyzed and weighted by our statisticians according to gender, age, region, race/ethnicity, household size and education level in order to render a representative sample of the general population.

## METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH

## METHODOLOGY

The LEO (Leger Opinion) panel is the largest Canadian panel with over 400,000 representative panelists from all regions of Canada. LEO was created by Leger based on a representative Canadian sample of Canadian citizens with Internet access.

LEO's panelists were randomly selected (RDD) through Leger's call centre, panelists from more hard-to-reach target groups were also added to the panel through targeted recruitment campaigns. The double opt-in selection process, a model to detect fraud and the renewal of $25 \%$ of the panel each year ensures complete respondent quality. To ensure a higher response rate and reach people on their mobile devices, Leger has also developed a high-performance Apple and Android application.

In fact, Leger is the only Canadian research firm offering both the number and quality of panelists. Most competing polling firms in Canada and the United States also use the LEO panel.

## METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH

## METHODOLOGY

A margin of error cannot be associated with a non-probability sample in a panel survey. For comparison purposes, a probability sample of this size would have a margin of error $\pm \mathbf{2 . 5 0 \%}$, 19 times out of $\mathbf{2 0}$ for the Canadian sample and of $\pm \mathbf{3 . 0 9 \%}$, 19 times out of $\mathbf{2 0}$ for the American sample. The results presented in this study comply with the public opinion research standards and disclosure requirements of CRIC (the Canadian Research and Insights Council) and the global ESOMAR network. Leger is a founding member of CRIC and is actively involved in raising quality standards in the survey industry. President Jean-Marc Léger is a member of the CRIC's Board of Directors and the Canadian representative of ESOMAR.

## METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH

## METHODOLOGY

Leger is the polling firm that has presented the most accurate data, on average, over the last ten years in Canada. During the last federal election in 2021, Leger was once again the most accurate firm in the country. This accuracy is attributed to the quality of the LEO panel and rigorous application of methodological rules by Leger's 600 employees, including 200 professionals in Leger's eight offices across Canada (Montreal, Toronto, Quebec City, Winnipeg, Calgary, Edmonton and Vancouver) and in the United States (Philadelphia).

Poll aggregator 338Canada.com gave Leger the highest rating among all polling firms in Canada for the accuracy of its studies. See https://338canada.com/pollster-ratings.htm

| 2021 CANADIAN FEDERAL ELECTION |  | T奖 | *NDP |  | PPC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEGER SURVEY <br> Published in Le Journal de Montréal and The National Post/Postmedia September 18, 2021 | 33\% | 32\% | 19\% | 7\% | 6\% | 2\% |
| OFFICIAL RESULTS <br> 2021 Canadian Federal Election* | 33.7\% | 32.6\% | 17.8\% | 7.7\% | 5.0\% | 2.3\% |

[^0]
## NOTES ON READING THIS REPORT

## METHODOLOGY



The numbers presented have been rounded. However, the numbers before rounding were used to calculate the sums presented and might therefore not correspond to the manual addition of these numbers.

In this report, data in bold red characters indicates a significantly lower proportion than that of other respondents. Conversely, data in bold green characters indicates a significantly higher proportion that that of other respondents.

A more detailed methodology is presented in the appendix.
If you have questions about the data presented in this report, please contact Christian Bourque, Associate and Executive Vice-President at the following e-mail address: cbourque@leger360.com or Jack Jedwab, President \& CEO of the Association for Canadian Studies: jack.jedwab@acs-aec.ca.

For any questions related to our services, or to request an interview, please contact us by clicking here.

## FEDERAL VOTING INTENTIONS

## VOTING INTENTIONS - FEDERAL ELECTIONS

CTC37. If federal elections were held today, for which political party would you be most likely to vote? Would it be for...? In the event a respondent had no opinion, the following prompting question was asked: Even if you have not yet made up your mind, for which of the following political parties would you be most likely to vote? Would it be for the ...
Base: Respondents who are eligible to vote ( $n=1,537$ ), except for the Bloc Québécois, Quebecers only

|  | TOTAL <br> Canada | TOTAL <br> Decided voters | ATL | QC | ON | MB/SK | AB | BC | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Urban | Suburban | Rural | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { Oct. } \\ & \text { 11th } \\ & 2022 \end{aligned}$ | Gap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 1,245 | 77 | 282 | 495 | 81 | 137 | 174 | 628 | 617 | 304 | 404 | 538 | 520 | 480 | 238 | 1,225 |  |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 1,265 | 76 | 332 | 511 | 109 | 106 | 131 | 643 | 622 | 313 | 473 | 479 | 537 | 489 | 231 | 1,247 |  |
| ...Pierre Poilievre's <br> Conservative Party of Canada | 28\% | 34\% | 35\% | 21\% | 36\% | 51\% | 47\% | 32\% | 38\% | 30\% | 26\% | 36\% | 38\% | 32\% | 31\% | 48\% | 33\% | +1 |
| ... Justin Trudeau's Liberal Party of Canada | 26\% | 32\% | 46\% | 30\% | 34\% | 24\% | 22\% | 32\% | 30\% | 33\% | 32\% | 31\% | 32\% | 34\% | 33\% | 22\% | 31\% | +1 |
| ... Jagmeet Singh's New Democratic Party of Canada | 15\% | 19\% | 13\% | 10\% | 20\% | 19\% | 20\% | 31\% | 16\% | 22\% | 27\% | 19\% | 14\% | 19\% | 22\% | 11\% | 21\% | -2 |
| ... Yves-François Blanchet's Bloc Québécois | 6\% | 7\% | - | 32\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8\% | -1 |
| ... Amita Kuttner's Green Party of Canada | 3\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% | 4\% | 3\% | 5\% | 6\% | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | +1 |
| ... Maxime Bernier's People's Party of Canada | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% | 5\% | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | 2\% | - |
| ... another party | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | +1 |
| I would not vote | 6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| I would cancel my vote | 2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| I don't know | 9\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Refusal | 2\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

## EVOLUTION OF VOTING INTENTIONS IN CANADA



## RISING PRICES AND RATES

## FEDERAL GOVERNMENT MEASURES TO HELP WITH INFLATION

CTC1045. To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements...
Base: All respondents ( $n=1,537$ )

The federal government has introduced appropriate measures to help people like me manage rising prices and interest rates


## PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT MEASURES TO HELP WITH INFLATION

CTC1045. To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements...
Base: All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1,537$ )

The provincial government has introduced appropriate measures to help people like me manage rising prices and interest rates


## MEASURES FROM GOVERNMENTS TO HELP WITH INFLATION - Detailed Results

CTC1045. To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements...
Base: All respondents

|  | TOTAL CANADA | Atl. | QC | ON | MB/SK | AB | BC | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Male | Female | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 103 | 357 | 598 | 99 | 166 | 215 | 406 | 497 | 634 | 753 | 784 | 655 | 578 | 290 |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 101 | 419 | 602 | 130 | 126 | 159 | 402 | 571 | 564 | 757 | 780 | 660 | 582 | 281 |

## Federal Government

Total Agree
Total Disagree

| $28 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $64 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $65 \%$ |

Provincial Government


## MEASURES FROM GOVERNMENTS TO HELP WITH INFLATION - By voting intentions

CTC1045. To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements...
Base: All respondents


Federal Government

| Total Agree | $28 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $64 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| Total Disagree |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provincial Government | $28 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Total Agree | $64 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $61 \%$ |

$\downarrow \square *$ Canada Arrivals Arrivées Canada

## CANADA'S IMMIGRATION PLAN

## NUMBER OF IMMIGRANTS COMING TO CANADA (1/2)

CTC1042. The Government of Canada recently released its immigration plan, which is to welcome 465,000 immigrants to Canada in 2023, 485,000 in 2024 and 500,000 in 2025. In 2021, Canada admitted over 405,000 immigrants. Which of the following best reflects your opinion about the government's plan?
Base: All respondents ( $n=1,537$ )

49\%


31\%

It will admit too many
immigrants to Canada

It will admit the right It will not admit enough I don't know/I prefer not number of immigrants to immigrants to Canada

16\%

## 5\%

Canada

## NUMBER OF IMMIGRANTS COMING TO CANADA (2/2)

CTC1042. The Government of Canada recently released its immigration plan, which is to welcome 465,000 immigrants to Canada in 2023, 485,000 in 2024 and 500,000 in 2025. In 2021, Canada admitted over 405,000 immigrants. Which of the following best reflects your opinion about the government's plan?
Base: All respondents

|  | TOTAL CANADA | Atl. | QC | ON | MB/SK | AB | BC | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Male | Female | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 103 | 357 | 598 | 99 | 166 | 215 | 406 | 497 | 634 | 753 | 784 | 655 | 578 | 290 |
| Unweighted n | 1,537 | 101 | 419 | 602 | 130 | 126 | 159 | 402 | 571 | 564 | 757 | 780 | 660 | 582 | 281 |
| It will admit too many immigrants to Canada | 49\% | 42\% | 46\% | 48\% | 58\% | 54\% | 49\% | 44\% | 52\% | 49\% | 46\% | 51\% | 48\% | 45\% | 58\% |
| It will admit the right number of immigrants to Canada | 31\% | 37\% | 33\% | 30\% | 24\% | 27\% | 34\% | 34\% | 26\% | 33\% | 35\% | 27\% | 31\% | 34\% | 25\% |
| It will not admit enough immigrants to Canada | 5\% | 2\% | 7\% | 4\% | 2\% | 7\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 6\% | 4\% | 3\% |
| I don't know/I prefer not to answer | 16\% | 19\% | 15\% | 17\% | 16\% | 13\% | 14\% | 18\% | 18\% | 13\% | 14\% | 18\% | 15\% | 17\% | 14\% |

## NUMBER OF IMMIGRANTS COMING TO CANADA -

## By voting intentions

CTC1042. The Government of Canada recently released its immigration plan, which is to welcome 465,000 immigrants to Canada in 2023, 485,000 in 2024 and 500,000 in 2025. In 2021, Canada admitted over 405,000 immigrants. Which of the following best reflects your opinion about the government's plan?

| Base: All respondents |  |  | (輷 | - | $3$ |  | $\cdots$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL CANADA | LPC | CPC | NDP | BQ | GPC | PPC | Others |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 394 | 394 | 234 | 90 | 49 | 31 | 20* |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 421 | 421 | 234 | 91 | 54 | 29* | 18* |
| It will admit too many immigrants to Canada | 49\% | 30\% | 65\% | 36\% | 71\% | 52\% | 81\% | 79\% |
| It will admit the right number of immigrants to Canada | 31\% | 50\% | 22\% | 38\% | 19\% | 28\% | 9\% | 10\% |
| It will not admit enough immigrants to Canada | 5\% | 7\% | 2\% | 5\% | 6\% | 4\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| I don't know/I prefer not to answer | 16\% | 13\% | 10\% | 21\% | 4\% | 15\% | 8\% | 10\% |

## CONCERN ABOUT EXCESSIVE SERVICE DEMANDS (1/2)

CTC1043. How concerned or unconcerned are you that the government's immigration plan will result in excessive demand for housing and health and social services in Canada?
Base: All respondents ( $n=1,537$ )


## CONCERN ABOUT EXCESSIVE SERVICE DEMANDS (2/2)

CTC1043. How concerned or unconcerned are you that the government's immigration plan will result in excessive demand for housing and health and social services in Canada?
Base: All respondents

|  | TOTAL CANADA | Atl. | QC | ON | MB/SK | $A B$ | BC | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Male | Female | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 103 | 357 | 598 | 99 | 166 | 215 | 406 | 497 | 634 | 753 | 784 | 655 | 578 | 290 |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 101 | 419 | 602 | 130 | 126 | 159 | 402 | 571 | 564 | 757 | 780 | 660 | 582 | 281 |
| Total Concerned | 75\% | 77\% | 74\% | 76\% | 77\% | 74\% | 76\% | 69\% | 76\% | 79\% | 72\% | 79\% | 75\% | 73\% | 81\% |
| Very concerned | 42\% | 42\% | 35\% | 45\% | 49\% | 46\% | 43\% | 37\% | 45\% | 44\% | 39\% | 46\% | 45\% | 38\% | 47\% |
| Somewhat concerned | 33\% | 35\% | 39\% | 31\% | 28\% | 28\% | 32\% | 32\% | 30\% | 36\% | 33\% | 33\% | 30\% | 36\% | 34\% |
| Total Not Concerned | 19\% | 17\% | 20\% | 18\% | 15\% | 19\% | 23\% | 23\% | 20\% | 16\% | 23\% | 15\% | 19\% | 22\% | 14\% |
| Not very concerned | 15\% | 13\% | 16\% | 14\% | 12\% | 15\% | 19\% | 18\% | 16\% | 13\% | 18\% | 12\% | 14\% | 18\% | 9\% |
| Not at all concerned | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% | 3\% | 5\% | 3\% | 5\% | 3\% | 5\% |
| I don't know/I prefer not to answer | 6\% | 6\% | 7\% | 6\% | 8\% | 6\% | 1\% | 8\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 6\% | 6\% | 5\% | 5\% |

## CONCERN ABOUT EXCESSIVE SERVICE DEMANDS By voting intentions

CTC1043. How concerned or unconcerned are you that the government's immigration plan will result in excessive demand for housing and health and social services in Canada?
Base: All respondents

|  |  |  | ( ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | WNDP | $3$ |  | *P |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL CANADA | LPC | CPC | NDP | BQ | GPC | PPC | Others |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 394 | 394 | 234 | 90 | 49 | 31 | 20* |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 421 | 421 | 234 | 91 | 54 | 29* | 18* |
| Total Concerned | 75\% | 64\% | 85\% | 74\% | 86\% | 79\% | 93\% | 89\% |
| Very concerned | 42\% | 30\% | 54\% | 37\% | 39\% | 56\% | 73\% | 70\% |
| Somewhat concerned | 33\% | 33\% | 31\% | 37\% | 46\% | 23\% | 20\% | 19\% |
| Total Not Concerned | 19\% | 30\% | 12\% | 22\% | 10\% | 21\% | 5\% | 8\% |
| Not very concerned | 15\% | 24\% | 10\% | 17\% | 10\% | 15\% | 2\% | 8\% |
| Not at all concerned | 4\% | 7\% | 2\% | 6\% | 0\% | 6\% | 4\% | 0\% |
| I don't know/I prefer not to answer | 6\% | 6\% | 3\% | 3\% | 5\% | 0\% | 2\% | 3\% |

## NOTWITHSTANDING CLAUSE AND LEGISLATION

## OPINION ON THE NOTWITHSTANDING CLAUSE (1/2)

CTC1053. There are currently provisions in the Canadian constitution that allow Parliament or a provincial legislature to pass laws and shield them from potential legal challenges under the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. Parliament or provincial legislatures may do this because they think the law is good for the public despite some consequences on for the minority or minorities. In your opinion, is it a good idea or a bad idea for Parliament or a legislature to shield some of its laws from the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms?
Base: All respondents ( $n=1,537$ )


Bad idea

33\%

Don't know / I prefer not to answer

## OPINION ON THE NOTWITHSTANDING CLAUSE (2/2)

CTC1053. There are currently provisions in the Canadian constitution that allow Parliament or a provincial legislature to pass laws and shield them from potential legal challenges under the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. Parliament or provincial legislatures may do this because they think the law is good for the public despite some consequences on for the minority or minorities. In your opinion, is it a good idea or a bad idea for Parliament or a legislature to shield some of its laws from the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms?
Base: All respondents

|  | TOTAL CANADA | Atl. | QC | ON | MB/SK | $A B$ | BC | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Male | Female | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 103 | 357 | 598 | 99 | 166 | 215 | 406 | 497 | 634 | 753 | 784 | 655 | 578 | 290 |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 101 | 419 | 602 | 130 | 126 | 159 | 402 | 571 | 564 | 757 | 780 | 660 | 582 | 281 |
| Good idea | 19\% | 18\% | 28\% | 17\% | 11\% | 16\% | 14\% | 20\% | 18\% | 19\% | 25\% | 13\% | 22\% | 16\% | 17\% |
| Bad idea | 48\% | 42\% | 37\% | 51\% | 49\% | 54\% | 54\% | 47\% | 48\% | 49\% | 53\% | 43\% | 46\% | 51\% | 45\% |
| Don't know / I prefer not to answer | 33\% | 40\% | 35\% | 32\% | 40\% | 31\% | 32\% | 33\% | 34\% | 33\% | 22\% | 44\% | 32\% | 33\% | 38\% |

## OPINION ON THE NOTWITHSTANDING CLAUSE By voting intentions

CTC1053. There are currently provisions in the Canadian constitution that allow Parliament or a provincial legislature to pass laws and shield them from potential legal challenges under the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. Parliament or provincial legislatures may do this because they think the law is good for the public despite some consequences on for the minority or minorities. In your opinion, is it a good idea or a bad idea for Parliament or a legislature to shield some of its laws from the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms?
Base: All respondents


## AUTHORITIES WHO SHOULD HAVE THE FINAL SAY ON LAWS (1/2)

CTC1054. Who should have the final say on the value or relevance of a law dealing with fundamental rights?
Base: All respondents ( $n=1,537$ )

57\%

The Courts of Justice


21\%


22\%

The elected Parliament or legislature representatives

## AUTHORITIES WHO SHOULD HAVE THE FINAL SAY ON LAWS (2/2)

CTC1054. Who should have the final say on the value or relevance of a law dealing with fundamental rights?
Base: All respondents

|  | TOTAL CANADA | Atl. | QC | ON | MB/SK | $A B$ | BC | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Male | Female | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 103 | 357 | 598 | 99 | 166 | 215 | 406 | 497 | 634 | 753 | 784 | 655 | 578 | 290 |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 101 | 419 | 602 | 130 | 126 | 159 | 402 | 571 | 564 | 757 | 780 | 660 | 582 | 281 |
| The Courts of Justice | 57\% | 61\% | 53\% | 58\% | 64\% | 58\% | 55\% | 45\% | 57\% | 64\% | 60\% | 54\% | 55\% | 62\% | 53\% |
| The elected Parliament or legislature representatives | 21\% | 17\% | 26\% | 17\% | 12\% | 24\% | 27\% | 28\% | 18\% | 18\% | 25\% | 17\% | 23\% | 19\% | 20\% |
| Don't know/l prefer not to answer | 22\% | 22\% | 21\% | 25\% | 25\% | 18\% | 17\% | 26\% | 25\% | 17\% | 16\% | 28\% | 22\% | 19\% | 27\% |

## AUTHORITIES WHO SHOULD HAVE THE FINAL SAY ON LAWS - By voting intentions

CTC1054. Who should have the final say on the value or relevance of a law dealing with fundamental rights?
Base: All respondents

|  | TOTAL CANADA |  | (3) | $\%$ |  |  | *P |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LPC | CPC | NDP | BQ | GPC | PPC | Others |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 394 | 394 | 234 | 90 | 49 | 31 | 20* |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 421 | 421 | 234 | 91 | 54 | 29* | 18* |
| The Courts of Justice | 57\% | 66\% | 63\% | 62\% | 26\% | 47\% | 68\% | 58\% |
| The elected Parliament or legislature representatives | 21\% | 22\% | 22\% | 14\% | 46\% | 22\% | 20\% | 16\% |
| Don't know/l prefer not to answer | 22\% | 12\% | 16\% | 24\% | 27\% | 30\% | 13\% | 27\% |



## AGREEMENT WITH CARBON TAX FREEZE (1/2)

CTC1043B. To what extent do you agree with the following statement: the carbon tax on gasoline, diesel and home heating fuels should be frozen until the period of high inflation passes and prices begin to moderate.
Base: All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1,537$ )


## AGREEMENT WITH CARBON TAX FREEZE (2/2)

CTC1043B. To what extent do you agree with the following statement: the carbon tax on gasoline, diesel and home heating fuels should be frozen until the period of high inflation passes and prices begin to moderate.
Base: All respondents

|  | TOTAL CANADA | Atl. | QC | ON | MB/SK | AB | BC | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Male | Female | Urban | Suburban | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 103 | 357 | 598 | 99 | 166 | 215 | 406 | 497 | 634 | 753 | 784 | 655 | 578 | 290 |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 101 | 419 | 602 | 130 | 126 | 159 | 402 | 571 | 564 | 757 | 780 | 660 | 582 | 281 |
| Total Agree | 77\% | 88\% | 71\% | 75\% | 84\% | 83\% | 82\% | 73\% | 79\% | 79\% | 74\% | 81\% | 73\% | 79\% | 83\% |
| Strongly agree | 51\% | 62\% | 41\% | 48\% | 58\% | 62\% | 59\% | 40\% | 54\% | 55\% | 48\% | 54\% | 48\% | 49\% | 61\% |
| Somewhat agree | 27\% | 26\% | 30\% | 27\% | 26\% | 21\% | 23\% | 33\% | 25\% | 24\% | 26\% | 27\% | 25\% | 30\% | 22\% |
| Total Disagree | 14\% | 4\% | 18\% | 16\% | 6\% | 12\% | 13\% | 16\% | 12\% | 14\% | 19\% | 9\% | 17\% | 13\% | 10\% |
| Somewhat disagree | 9\% | 0\% | 13\% | 11\% | 2\% | 7\% | 6\% | 11\% | 8\% | 8\% | 12\% | 6\% | 11\% | 8\% | 4\% |
| Strongly disagree | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% | 7\% | 6\% | 4\% | 6\% | 7\% | 4\% | 6\% | 4\% | 6\% |
| I don't know/Prefer not to answer | 8\% | 8\% | 10\% | 9\% | 10\% | 5\% | 5\% | 10\% | 8\% | 7\% | 7\% | 10\% | 9\% | 8\% | 7\% |

## AGREEMENT WITH CARBON TAX FREEZE <br> - By voting intentions

CTC1043B. To what extent do you agree with the following statement: the carbon tax on gasoline, diesel and home heating fuels should be frozen until the period of high inflation passes and prices begin to moderate.
Base: All respondents

|  |  |  | (3) |  |  |  | P |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL CANADA | LPC | CPC | NDP | BQ | GPC | PPC | Others |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 394 | 394 | 234 | 90 | 49 | 31 | 20* |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 421 | 421 | 234 | 91 | 54 | 29* | 18* |
| Total Agree | 77\% | 72\% | 91\% | 73\% | 66\% | 73\% | 96\% | 59\% |
| Strongly agree | 51\% | 40\% | 71\% | 41\% | 36\% | 53\% | 69\% | 34\% |
| Somewhat agree | 27\% | 33\% | 20\% | 32\% | 30\% | 21\% | 27\% | 25\% |
| Total Disagree | 14\% | 19\% | 5\% | 21\% | 27\% | 20\% | 0\% | 35\% |
| Somewhat disagree | 9\% | 12\% | 3\% | 11\% | 18\% | 9\% | 0\% | 30\% |
| Strongly disagree | 5\% | 7\% | 2\% | 10\% | 9\% | 11\% | 0\% | 5\% |
| I don't know/Prefer not to answer | 8\% | 9\% | 4\% | 6\% | 7\% | 7\% | 4\% | 6\% |

## U.S. POLITICS

QUESTION

## POLITICAL IDENTITY

POL9. How do you plan on voting in the $\mathbf{2 0 2 4}$ presidential election?
Base: All respondents ( $n=1,007$ )


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { USA } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | NorthEast | Mid- <br> West | South | West | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,007 | 178 | 209 | 382 | 239 | 222 | 396 | 389 | 492 | 515 |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,007 | 225 | 229 | 309 | 244 | 147 | 390 | 470 | 490 | 517 |
| Democratic | 34\% | 34\% | 30\% | 33\% | 41\% | 38\% | 37\% | 29\% | 34\% | 35\% |
| Republican | 31\% | 33\% | 32\% | 35\% | 24\% | 21\% | 30\% | 39\% | 36\% | 27\% |
| Independent | 10\% | 8\% | 13\% | 8\% | 11\% | 12\% | 8\% | 10\% | 9\% | 10\% |
| I don't plan on voting | 11\% | 9\% | 11\% | 11\% | 10\% | 16\% | 11\% | 7\% | 10\% | 12\% |
| I don't know/I prefer not to answer | 14\% | 16\% | 13\% | 13\% | 14\% | 13\% | 13\% | 15\% | 12\% | 16\% |

## PREFERRED REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE FOR 2024 <br> American Survey <br> CTC1059B. Who would you prefer to be the Republican candidate for the 2024 presidential election? <br> Base: All respondents ( $n=1,007$ ) <br>  <br> Donald Trump <br> Ron DeSantis <br> I don't know/Prefer not to answer

|  | TOTAL USA | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { North- } \\ \text { East } \end{array}$ | Mid- <br> West | South | West | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Male | Female | Republican | Democrat | Independent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,007 | 178 | 209 | 382 | 239 | 222 | 396 | 389 | 492 | 515 | 316 | 347 | 99 |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,007 | 225 | 229 | 309 | 244 | 147 | 390 | 470 | 490 | 517 | 297 | 363 | 110 |
| Donald Trump | 23\% | 21\% | 22\% | 29\% | 16\% | 29\% | 25\% | 17\% | 26\% | 20\% | 43\% | 8\% | 19\% |
| Ron DeSantis | 36\% | 37\% | 41\% | 30\% | 40\% | 25\% | 33\% | 44\% | 37\% | 34\% | 45\% | 33\% | 38\% |
| I don't know/I prefer not to answer | 41\% | 42\% | 37\% | 42\% | 44\% | 46\% | 41\% | 39\% | 37\% | 46\% | 13\% | 58\% | 42\% |

## 2024 VOTING INTENTIONS (1/2)

CTC1061. If the 2024 presidential election were held today, would you vote for Joe Biden, Ron DeSantis or another candidate?
Base: All respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=1,007$ )

CTC1062. If the 2024 presidential election were held today, would you vote for Joe Biden, Donald Trump or another candidate?
Base: All respondents ( $n=1,007$ )


## 2024 VOTING INTENTIONS (2/2)

CTC1061. If the $\mathbf{2 0 2 4}$ presidential election were held today, would you vote for Joe Biden, Ron DeSantis or another candidate?
Base: All respondents

## Joe Biden

Ron DeSantis
Another candidate
I don't know/Prefer not to answer

|  | TOTAL USA | NorthEast | MidWest | South | West | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Male | Female | Republican | Democrat | Independent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,007 | 178 | 209 | 382 | 239 | 222 | 396 | 389 | 492 | 515 | 316 | 347 | 99 |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,007 | 225 | 229 | 309 | 244 | 147 | 390 | 470 | 490 | 517 | 297 | 363 | 110 |
|  | 33\% | 36\% | 29\% | 32\% | 34\% | 32\% | 35\% | 31\% | 32\% | 33\% | 6\% | 78\% | 13\% |
|  | 35\% | 34\% | 39\% | 35\% | 30\% | 25\% | 28\% | 46\% | 43\% | 27\% | 76\% | 6\% | 32\% |
|  | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 15\% | 17\% | 16\% | 10\% | 12\% | 16\% | 13\% | 8\% | 32\% |
| t to answer | 19\% | 16\% | 18\% | 19\% | 21\% | 26\% | 21\% | 13\% | 13\% | 24\% | 5\% | 8\% | 23\% |

CTC1062. If the 2024 presidential election were held today, would you vote for Joe Biden, Donald Trump or another candidate? Base: All respondents

Joe Biden
Donald Trump
Another candidate
I don't know/Prefer not to answer

|  | TOTAL <br> USA | North- <br> East | Mid- <br> West | South | West | $\mathbf{1 8 - 3 4}$ | $35-54$ | $55+$ | Male | Female | Republican | Democrat | Independent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,007 | 178 | 209 | 382 | 239 | 222 | 396 | 389 | 492 | 515 | 316 | 347 | 99 |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,007 | 225 | 229 | 309 | 244 | 147 | 390 | 470 | 490 | 517 | 297 | 363 | 110 |
|  | $36 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
|  | 33\% | $31 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $29 \%$ |

## VOTED IN THE MIDTERM ELECTIONS

## CTC1056. Did you vote in the U.S. midterm elections on November 8?

Base: All respondents ( $n=1,007$ )

## 71\% <br> Yes

5\%

I don't know/Prefer not to answer

|  | TOTAL <br> USA | North- <br> East | Mid- <br> West | South | West | $\mathbf{1 8 - 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 - 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 +}$ | Male | Female | Republican | Democrat | Independent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,007 | 178 | 209 | 382 | 239 | 222 | 39 | 389 | 492 | 515 | 316 | 347 | 99 |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,007 | 225 | 229 | 309 | 244 | 147 | 390 | 470 | 490 | 517 | 297 | 363 | 110 |
|  | $71 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 7 \%}$ |

## SATISFACTION WITH THE RESULTS

CTC1057. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the results of the November 8 midterm elections?
Base: All respondents ( $n=1,007$ )

41\%


Satisfied


Dissatisfied

28\%


I don’t know/Prefer not to answer

| TOTAL USA | NorthEast | MidWest | South | West | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Male | Female | Republican | Democrat | Independent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,007 | 178 | 209 | 382 | 239 | 222 | 396 | 389 | 492 | 515 | 316 | 347 | 99 |
| 1,007 | 225 | 229 | 309 | 244 | 147 | 390 | 470 | 490 | 517 | 297 | 363 | 110 |
| 41\% | 46\% | 41\% | 38\% | 41\% | 43\% | 41\% | 39\% | 44\% | 37\% | 27\% | 68\% | 37\% |
| 31\% | 31\% | 33\% | 31\% | 31\% | 22\% | 26\% | 42\% | 35\% | 28\% | 56\% | 14\% | 28\% |
| 28\% | 23\% | 26\% | 32\% | 28\% | 35\% | 33\% | 19\% | 21\% | 35\% | 17\% | 18\% | 35\% |

QUESTION

## OPINION ON THE RESULTS

CTC1058. Do you believe that the midterm elections were fair and democratic or was there fraud and corruption?
Base: All respondents ( $n=1,007$ )


|  | TOTAL USA | NorthEast | Mid- <br> West | South | West | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Male | Female | Republican | Democrat | Independen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,007 | 178 | 209 | 382 | 239 | 222 | 396 | 389 | 492 | 515 | 316 | 347 | 99 |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,007 | 225 | 229 | 309 | 244 | 147 | 390 | 470 | 490 | 517 | 297 | 363 | 110 |
| They were fair and democratic | 57\% | 61\% | 59\% | 51\% | 62\% | 57\% | 57\% | 56\% | 58\% | 56\% | 34\% | 90\% | 48\% |
| There was fraud and corruption | 19\% | 15\% | 14\% | 25\% | 16\% | 17\% | 16\% | 23\% | 21\% | 17\% | 40\% | 2\% | 16\% |
| I don't know/Prefer not to answer | 24\% | 24\% | 27\% | 24\% | 22\% | 25\% | 26\% | 21\% | 21\% | 27\% | 26\% | 8\% | 36\% |

## CANADIANS FOLLOWING THE MIDTERM ELECTIONS

## CTC1055. Did you follow the American midterm elections of November 8?

Base: All respondents ( $n=1,537$ )


## TRUMP IN THE PRESIDENTIAL RACE FOR 2024

## CTC1059A. Would you like Donald Trump to run for president in 2024?

Base: All respondents ( $n=1,007$ )


- Yes ■ No I don't know/Prefer not to answer

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { USA } \end{gathered}$ | NorthEast | Mid- <br> West | South | West | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Male | Female | Republican | Democrat | Independent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,007 | 178 | 209 | 382 | 239 | 222 | 396 | 389 | 492 | 515 | 316 | 347 | 99 |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,007 | 225 | 229 | 309 | 244 | 147 | 390 | 470 | 490 | 517 | 297 | 363 | 110 |
|  | 27\% | 27\% | 25\% | 32\% | 22\% | 33\% | 30\% | 22\% | 33\% | 22\% | 55\% | 9\% | 23\% |
|  | 58\% | 62\% | 60\% | 50\% | 67\% | 50\% | 57\% | 63\% | 54\% | 62\% | 33\% | 87\% | 59\% |
| to answer | 15\% | 12\% | 15\% | 18\% | 11\% | 17\% | 12\% | 15\% | 13\% | 16\% | 12\% | 4\% | 18\% |

## TRUMP IN THE PRESIDENTIAL RACE FOR 2024 (CANADA VS UNITED STATES)

CTC1059A. Would you like Donald Trump to run for president in 2024?
Base: All respondents

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL CANADA | TOTAL USA | Gap |
| Weighted $n=$ | 1,537 | 1,007 |  |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 1,007 |  |
| Yes | 12\% | 27\% | 15 |
| No | 80\% | 58\% | 22 |
| I don't know/Prefer not to answer | 8\% | 15\% | 7 |

## BIDEN IN THE PRESIDENTIAL RACE FOR 2024

## CTC1059C. Would you like Joe Biden to run for president in 2024?

Base: All respondents ( $n=1,007$ )

$■$ Yes ■ No I I don't know/Prefer not to answer

| TOTAL <br> USA | North- <br> East | Mid- <br> West | South | West | $\mathbf{1 8 - 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 - 5 4}$ | $55+$ | Male | Female | Republican | Democrat | Independent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,007 | 178 | 209 | 382 | 239 | 222 | 396 | 389 | 492 | 515 | 316 | 347 | 99 |
| 1,007 | 225 | 229 | 309 | 244 | 147 | 390 | 470 | 490 | 517 | 297 | 363 | 110 |
| $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ | $28 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 7 \%}$ | $53 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 8 \%}$ | $75 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ | $19 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ |

## BIDEN IN THE PRESIDENTIAL RACE FOR 2024 (CANADA VS UNITED STATES)

CTC1059C. Would you like Joe Biden to run for president in 2024?
Base: All respondents

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL CANADA | TOTAL USA | Gap |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 1,007 |  |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 1,007 |  |
| Yes | 26\% | 29\% | 3 |
| No | 55\% | 57\% | 2 |
| I don't know/Prefer not to answer | 18\% | 14\% | 4 |

## IF CANADIANS COULD VOTE IN THE U.S. ELECTION

CTC1063. If you could vote in the next U.S. election, would you vote for a Democratic, Republican or Independent candidate? Base: All respondents ( $n=1,537$ )

42\%


IF CANADIANS COULD VOTE IN THE U.S. ELECTION

## - By voting intentions

CTC1063. If you could vote in the next U.S. election, would you vote for a Democratic, Republican or Independent candidate? Base: All respondents

|  |  |  | (业 | ND | 3 | (t)) | \%P |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL CANADA | LPC | CPC | NDP | BQ | GPC | PPC | Others |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 394 | 394 | 234 | 90 | 49 | 31 | 20* |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,537 | 421 | 421 | 234 | 91 | 54 | 29* | 18* |
| Democratic candidate | 42\% | 68\% | 25\% | 54\% | 63\% | 34\% | 2\% | 29\% |
| Republican candidate | 14\% | 5\% | 34\% | 7\% | 5\% | 8\% | 42\% | 18\% |
| Independent candidate | 11\% | 8\% | 11\% | 14\% | 12\% | 37\% | 19\% | 14\% |
| Don't know / I prefer not to answer | 33\% | 19\% | 31\% | 26\% | 19\% | 21\% | 37\% | 39\% |

QUESTION

## POSITIONING OF THE PARTIES (1/2)

CTC1060A. Do you think the Republican Party is too far right, just right enough or not right enough?
Base: All respondents ( $n=1,007$ )


CTC1060B. Do you think the Democratic Party is too far left, just left enough or not left enough?
Base: All respondents ( $n=1,007$ )


## POSITIONING OF THE PARTIES (2/2)

CTC1060A. Do you think the Republican Party is too far right, just right enough or not right enough? Base: All respondents

## Too far right

Just right enough
Not right enough
I don't know/Prefer not to answer

| TOTAL <br> USA | North- <br> East | Mid- <br> West | South | West | $\mathbf{1 8 - 3 4}$ | $35-54$ | $55+$ | Male | Female | Republican | Democrat | Independent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,007 | 178 | 209 | 382 | 239 | 222 | 396 | 389 | 492 | 515 | 316 | 347 | 99 |
| 1,007 | 225 | 229 | 309 | 244 | 147 | 390 | 470 | 490 | 517 | 297 | 363 | 110 |
| $35 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| $32 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ | $10 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ | $18 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $32 \%$ |

CTC1060B. Do you think the Democratic Party is too far left, just left enough or not left enough?
Base: All respondents

Too far left
Just left enough
Not left enough
I don't know/Prefer not to answer

|  | TOTAL USA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { North- } \\ & \text { East } \end{aligned}$ | MidWest | South | West | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Male | Female | Republican | Democrat | Independent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,007 | 178 | 209 | 382 | 239 | 222 | 396 | 389 | 492 | 515 | 316 | 347 | 99 |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,007 | 225 | 229 | 309 | 244 | 147 | 390 | 470 | 490 | 517 | 297 | 363 | 110 |
|  | 41\% | 36\% | 40\% | 48\% | 34\% | 29\% | 35\% | 54\% | 46\% | 36\% | 79\% | 8\% | 42\% |
|  | 28\% | 29\% | 25\% | 26\% | 33\% | 32\% | 29\% | 25\% | 30\% | 26\% | 12\% | 58\% | 18\% |
|  | 10\% | 13\% | 15\% | 6\% | 12\% | 20\% | 10\% | 5\% | 9\% | 12\% | 1\% | 21\% | 9\% |
| to answer | 21\% | 22\% | 21\% | 20\% | 21\% | 18\% | 26\% | 17\% | 15\% | 26\% | 8\% | 14\% | 31\% |

 HARRIS' APPROVAL RATING

## BIDEN'S APPROVAL RATING (1/2)

CTC631T. Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way Joe Biden is handling his job as president?
In the event a respondent had no opinion, the following prompting question was asked: Even if you have not yet made up your mind, do you tend to approve or disapprove the way Joe Biden is handling his job as president?
Base: All respondents ( $n=1,007$ )


Disapprove

Unsure

## BIDEN'S APPROVAL RATING (2/2)

CTC631T. Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way Joe Biden is handling his job as president?
In the event a respondent had no opinion, the following prompting question was asked: Even if you have not yet made up your mind, do you tend to approve or disapprove the way Joe Biden is handling his job as president?
Base: All respondents

|  | TOTAL USA | NorthEast | MidWest | South | West | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Male | Female | Voted for <br> Trump | Voted for Biden | Voted for other | TOTAL <br> Oct <br> 31st, <br> 2022 | Gap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,007 | 178 | 209 | 382 | 239 | 222 | 396 | 389 | 492 | 515 | 375 | 407 | 16 | 1,005 |  |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,007 | 225 | 229 | 309 | 244 | 147 | 390 | 470 | 490 | 517 | 352 | 432 | 36 | 1,005 |  |
| Approve | 42\% | 43\% | 42\% | 39\% | 45\% | 42\% | 47\% | 37\% | 42\% | 42\% | 10\% | 81\% | 21\% | 44\% | -2 |
| Disapprove | 55\% | 53\% | 57\% | 59\% | 51\% | 55\% | 51\% | 60\% | 57\% | 54\% | 90\% | 18\% | 79\% | 54\% | +1 |
| Unsure | 3\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% | 4\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% | +1 |

## HARRIS' APPROVAL RATING (1/2)

CTC632T. Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way Kamala Harris is handling her job as vice president?
In the event a respondent had no opinion, the following prompting question was asked: Even if you have not yet made up your mind, do you tend to approve or disapprove the way Kamala Harris is handling her job as vice president?
Base: All respondents ( $n=1,007$ )


Approve

Unsure

## HARRIS' APPROVAL RATING (2/2)

CTC632T. Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way Kamala Harris is handling her job as vice president?
In the event a respondent had no opinion, the following prompting question was asked: Even if you have not yet made up your mind, do you tend to approve or disapprove the way Kamala Harris is handling her job as vice president?
Base: All respondents

|  |  | TOTAL USA | North- <br> East | MidWest | South | West | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Male | Female | Voted for <br> Trump | Voted for Biden | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Voted } \\ \text { for } \\ \text { other } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { Oct } \\ \text { 31st, } \\ 2022 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Gap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,007 | 178 | 209 | 382 | 239 | 222 | 396 | 389 | 492 | 515 | 375 | 407 | 16 | 1,005 |  |
|  | Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,007 | 225 | 229 | 309 | 244 | 147 | 390 | 470 | 490 | 517 | 352 | 432 | 36 | 1,005 |  |
| Approve |  | 41\% | 43\% | 39\% | 38\% | 44\% | 40\% | 45\% | 37\% | 40\% | 42\% | 10\% | 79\% | 13\% | 41\% | - |
| Disapprove |  | 57\% | 53\% | 59\% | 60\% | 53\% | 57\% | 53\% | 61\% | 59\% | 55\% | 90\% | 20\% | 87\% | 57\% | - |
| Unsure |  | 2\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 4\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% | - |

## JOE BIDEN AND KAMALA HARRIS' APPROVAL RATING - EVOLUTION

CTC631 and CTC632. Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way Joe Biden/Kamala Harris is handling his job as president/vice president? In the event a respondent had no opinion, the following prompting question was asked: Even if you have not yet made up your mind, do you tend to approve or disapprove the way Joe Biden/Kamala Harris is handling his job as president/vice president?
Base: All respondents
\% Approve presented


| Nov. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Mar. | Mar | Apr | Apr | May | May | June | June | June | July | July | Aug | Aug | Sept | Sept | Oct 3rd | Oct | Oct | Nov. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8th, | 22nd, | 06th, | 24th, | 4th, | 20th | 5th | 21st | 2nd | 15th | 2nd | 13th | 29th | 11th | 25th | 8th | 22nd | 6th | 19th | 2022 | 17th | 31st | 14th, |
| 2021 | 2021 | 2021 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 |  | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 |

-     - Kamala Harris
- Joe Biden


## Lecer

## WOULD YOU LIKE TO SURVEY CANADIANS AND/OR AMERICANS?

Add your question(s) to our weekly Omnibuis to survey the population of your choice! It's a flexible, fast, efficient and economical solution.


Le er

APPENDIX

## DETAILED METHODOLOGY

## WEIGHTED AND UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE

The table below presents the Canadian geographic distribution of respondents before weighting.

| Province | Wnweighted | Weighted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| British Columbia | 159 | 215 |
| Alberta | 126 | 166 |
| Manitoba/Saskatchewan | 130 | 99 |
| Ontario | 602 | 598 |
| Quebec | 419 | 357 |
| Atlantic | 101 | 103 |

The table below presents the American geographic distribution of respondents before weighting.

| US Region | Unweighted | Weighted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| NorthEast | 225 | 178 |
| MidWest | 229 | 209 |
| South | 309 | 382 |
| West | 244 | 239 |

## DETAILED METHODOLOGY

## WEIGHTED AND UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE FOR CANADA

The following tables present the demographic distribution of respondents according to gender, age and language (mother tongue) for Canada.

| GENDER | Unweighted | Weighted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 757 | 753 |
| Female | 780 | 784 |


| AGE | Unweighted | Weighted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Between 18 and 34 | 402 | 406 |
| Between 35 and 54 | 571 | 497 |
| 55 or over | 564 | 634 |


| LANGUAGE (MOTHER TONGUE) | Unweighted | Weighted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| English | 948 | 1,027 |
| French | 366 | 305 |
| Other | 222 | 203 |

The sample thus collected has a minimum weighting factor of 0.1085 and a maximum weighting factor of 4.9256 . The weighted variance is 0.4680 .

## DETAILED METHODOLOGY

## WEIGHTED AND UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE FOR THE UNITED STATES

The following tables present the demographic distribution of respondents according to gender and age for the United States.

| GENDER | Unweighted | Weighted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 490 | 492 |
| Female | 517 | 515 |


| AGE | Unweighted | Weighted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Between 18 and 29 | 70 | 104 |
| Between 30 and 39 | 180 | 274 |
| Between 40 and 49 | 197 | 161 |
| Between 50 and 64 | 291 | 249 |
| 65 or older | 269 | 218 |

The sample thus collected has a minimum weighting factor of 0.1580 and a maximum weighting factor of 4.9146. The weighted variance is 0.4608 .

## OUR SERVICES

- Leger

Marketing research and polling

- Leger MetriCX

Strategic and operational customer experience consulting services

- Leger Analytics (LEA)

Data modelling and analysis

- Leger Opinion (LEO)

Panel management

- Leger Community

Online community management

- Leger Digital

Digital strategy and user experience

- International research

Worldwide Independent Network (WIN)

## 600 <br> EMPLOYEES <br>  <br> CONSULTANTS <br>  <br> OFFICES

MONTREAL | QUEBEC CITY | TORONTO | WINNIPEG EDMONTON | CALGARY \| VANCOUVER | PHILADELPHIA

## OUR COMMITMENTS TO QUALITY

"ロ"
ASSOCIATION
MEMBER

Leger is a member of the Canadian Research Insights Council (CRIC), the industry association for the market/survey/insights research industry.

Leger is a member of ESOMAR (European Society for Opinion and Market Research), the global association of opinion polls and marketing research professionals. As such, Leger is committed to applying the international ICC/ESOMAR code of Market, Opinion and Social Research and Data Analytics.

Leger is also a member of the Insights Association, the American Association of Marketing Research Analytics.

Leger is a sponsor of CAIP Canada, Canada's professional body for Certified Analytics and Insights Professionals who uphold CRIC's marketing research and public opinion research standards. CAIP Canada is globally endorsed by ESOMAR and the MRII/University of Georgia.


We Know Canadians



[^0]:    *The official results were obtained from Elections Canada on September 24, 2021, at 9:45 a.m. EDT.

