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## METHODOLOGY

## Methodology

## Study Population

- Canada residents, aged 18 and older.


## Data Collection

- A total of n of 1554 online surveys were conducted via Leger's online panel, LEO.
- Interviews were conducted from October 29 to November 1, 2021.


## Statistical Analysis

- As a non-probability internet survey, a margin of error is technically not to be reported.
- If the data were collected through a random sample, the margin of error would be $\mathrm{n}^{\sim} 1500 \pm 2.5 \%, 19$ times out of 20 .
- Using data from the 2016 Census, results were weighted according to age, gender and region in order to ensure a representative sample of the population.
- The numbers presented have been rounded to the nearest whole number. However, raw values were used to calculate the sums presented and therefore may not correspond to the manual addition of these numbers.
- Through out the report, proportions highlighted green are significantly larger than those highlighted in red at $95 \%$ confidence interval

For additional information or questions regarding this poll please contact Andrew Enns (aenns@leger360.com)

## METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH

Leger is the polling firm that has presented the most accurate data, on average, over the last ten years in Canada. During the last federal election in 2021, Leger was once again the most accurate firm in the country. This accuracy is attributed to the quality of the LEO panel and rigorous application of methodological rules by Leger's 600 employees, including 200 professionals in Leger's eight offices across Canada (Montreal, Toronto, Quebec City, Winnipeg, Calgary, Edmonton and Vancouver) and in the United States (Philadelphia).

Poll aggregator 338Canada.com gave Leger the highest rating among all polling firms in Canada for the accuracy of its studies. See https://338canada.com/pollster-ratings.htm

## 2021 CANADIAN FEDERAL ELECTION
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## Detailed Results: How We Identify

Almost 7 in 10 identify first as Canadian. Quebec residents most likely to identify with their province, while Atlantic Canadians stand-out somewhat as identifying regionally

| How Canadians Identify | TOTAL CANADA | BC | AB | MB/SK | ON | QC | ATL | West | East | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,554 | 211 | 174 | 101 | 596 | 365 | 107 | 487 | 1067 | 424 | 530 | 600 | 755 | 799 |
| I see myself as a Canadian | 68\% | 62\% | 74\% | 81\% | 75\% | 56\% | 64\% | 70\% | 67\% | 62\% | 68\% | 73\% | 66\% | 71\% |
| I see myself as a resident of my province | 16\% | 18\% | 11\% | 11\% | 8\% | 32\% | 14\% | 14\% | 16\% | 14\% | 14\% | 18\% | 15\% | 16\% |
| I see myself as a resident of my region | 3\% | 1\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% | 3\% | 14\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 4\% | 3\% | 4\% | 2\% |
| I see myself through my ethnic, cultural heritage | 7\% | 9\% | 9\% | 3\% | 8\% | 4\% | 5\% | 8\% | 7\% | 11\% | 8\% | 3\% | 8\% | 6\% |
| Other | 3\% | 3\% | 0\% | 3\% | 5\% | 3\% | 1\% | 2\% | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| Don't know | 3\% | 6\% | 2\% | 0\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | 3\% | 6\% | 3\% | 1\% | 3\% | 3\% |

Three-quarter of Canadians say their province is better off being part of Canada. This feeling is strongest in Ontario, followed by Atlantic Canada



## Half of Canadians feel their province's interests are well represented in Ottawa. However only about a third of Western Canadians feel this way.

CEO3: Do you agree or disagree with the statement: I believe the interests and concerns of my province are being well represented within the national government in Ottawa.


| \% Agree/Disagree | TOTAL CANADA | BC | AB | MB/SK | ON | QC | ATL | West | East | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,554 | 211 | 174 | 101 | 596 | 365 | 107 | 487 | 1067 | 424 | 530 | 600 | 755 | 799 |
| Agree interests of my province represented in Ottawa | 52\% | 39\% | 32\% | 37\% | 61\% | 57\% | 48\% | 36\% | 58\% | 52\% | 49\% | 54\% | 55\% | 49\% |
| Disagree interests of my province represented in Ottawa | 37\% | 41\% | 61\% | 53\% | 27\% | 33\% | 43\% | 51\% | 31\% | 38\% | 35\% | 38\% | 38\% | 36\% |

## Leger

## Detailed Results: Equalization

## Two-thirds of Canadians are supportive of the Equalization program, with support highest in the East and among the beneficiaries of Equalization.

CEO4: [PREAMBLE] Based on what you just read, along with anything else you know; do you support or oppose Canada's system of equalization
payments?




CEO4: Equalization is a formal system in Canada that distributes taxation revenue from wealthier provinces to provinces with lower taxation revenues. This system ensures all provinces can financially support a standard level of essential services, like delivering healthcare and education to its citizens. Based on what you just read, along with anything else you know; do you support or oppose Canada's system of equalization payments? Canadians ( $\mathrm{n}=1554$ )
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Just under half of Canadians say some provinces are relying too much on Equalization funding and not doing enough to promote their own economic growth. This number grows to just over 60\% among Albertans.

|  | TOTAL CANADA | BC | AB | MB/SK | ON | QC | ATL | West | East | Haves | Have Nots | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,554 | 211 | 174 | 101 | 596 | 365 | 107 | 487 | 1067 | 1051 | 503 | 424 | 530 | 600 |
|  | 47\% | 50\% | 61\% | 50\% | 46\% | 41\% | 38\% | 54\% | 43\% | 49\% | 40\% | 47\% | 47\% | 46\% |
|  | 22\% | 15\% | 19\% | 22\% | 19\% | 31\% | 34\% | 18\% | 25\% | 18\% | 31\% | 21\% | 21\% | 25\% |



## Canadians divided and unsure about whether provinces that receive Equalization funding are appreciative. A majority of Albertans feel 'Have Not' provinces take funding for granted.

■ Have Not provinces appreciate support
■ Have Not provinces take support for granted

| - Don't know |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL CANADA | BC | AB | MB/SK | ON | QC | ATL | West | East | Haves | Have Nots | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,554 | 211 | 174 | 101 | 596 | 365 | 107 | 487 | 1067 | 1051 | 503 | 424 | 530 | 600 |
| 'Have Not' provinces appreciate the support | 34\% | 29\% | 32\% | 32\% | 26\% | 48\% | 49\% | 31\% | 36\% | 28\% | 48\% | 37\% | 35\% | 33\% |
| 'Have Not' provinces take funding for granted | 35\% | 37\% | 52\% | 34\% | 35\% | 27\% | 35\% | 42\% | 32\% | 39\% | 28\% | 28\% | 34\% | 41\% |
| Don't know | 30\% | 34\% | 16\% | 34\% | 39\% | 25\% | 15\% | 28\% | 32\% | 33\% | 24\% | 35\% | 31\% | 26\% |

[^0]
## A majority of Canadians agree some provinces are taking unfair advantage of Equalization. This sentiment is strongest in Alberta and, interestingly, Atlantic Canada

CEO8: Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: I feel some provincial governments are taking unfair advantage of the equalization system when making decisions in their province.


| \% Agree/Disagree | TOTAL CANADA | BC | AB | MB/SK | ON | QC | ATL | West | East | Haves | Have Nots | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,554 | 211 | 174 | 101 | 596 | 365 | 107 | 487 | 1067 | 1051 | 503 | 424 | 530 | 600 |
| Agree some government are taking unfair advantage | 54\% | 56\% | 72\% | 56\% | 53\% | 46\% | 66\% | 62\% | 52\% | 28\% | 48\% | 56\% | 55\% | 54\% |
| Disagree some government are unfair taking advantage | 17\% | 11\% | 14\% | 16\% | 15\% | 24\% | 16\% | 13\% | 18\% | 39\% | 28\% | 17\% | 16\% | 17\% |

[^1]A slim majority of Canadians feel the Federal government and all provinces should be a part of any discussion around changing Equalization. One quarter of residents in the 'Have' provinces support the discussion being between just them and the Federal government.

| Who should be Involved when Changing Equalization | TOTAL CANADA | BC | AB | MB/SK | ON | QC | ATL | West | East | Haves | Have Nots | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,554 | 211 | 174 | 101 | 596 | 365 | 107 | 487 | 1067 | 1051 | 503 | 424 | 530 | 600 |
| Federal government only | 8\% | 3\% | 6\% | 4\% | 8\% | 12\% | 7\% | 4\% | 9\% | 6\% | 10\% | 12\% | 8\% | 5\% |
| The Federal government and the provinces that contribute to equalization | 24\% | 27\% | 27\% | 29\% | 25\% | 18\% | 26\% | 27\% | 23\% | 26\% | 19\% | 26\% | 25\% | 23\% |
| The Federal government and the provinces that contribute and receive equalization | 51\% | 55\% | 51\% | 44\% | 47\% | 59\% | 54\% | 51\% | 51\% | 49\% | 57\% | 42\% | 48\% | 61\% |
| Don't know | 17\% | 15\% | 16\% | 22\% | 21\% | 12\% | 12\% | 17\% | 17\% | 18\% | 13\% | 20\% | 20\% | 12\% |

[^2] Canadians ( $\mathrm{n}=1554$ )

## Leger

## RESPONDENT PROFILE

RESPONDENT PROFILE - Canada

|  | Unweighted | Weighted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=$ | $\mathbf{1 5 5 4}$ |
| Gender | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{1 5 5 4}$ |
| Male | 56 | 49 |
| Female | 44 | 51 |
| Age |  |  |
| 18 to 34 | 26 | 27 |
| 35 to 54 | 43 | 34 |
| 55 years of age or older | 30 | 39 |
| Region |  |  |
| British Columbia | 10 | 14 |
| Alberta | 9 | 11 |
| MB/SK | 8 | 7 |
| Ontario | 39 | 38 |
| Quebec | 27 | 23 |
| Atlantic | 6 | 7 |

OUR SERVICES

- Leger

Marketing research and polling

- Leger Metrics

Real-time VOC satisfaction measurement

- Leger Analytics

Data modeling and analysis

- Legerweb

Panel management

- Leger Communities

Online community management

- Leger Digital

Digital strategy and user experience

- International Research Worldwide Independent Network (WIN)


## 600 <br> EMPLOYEES

CONSULTANTS


## 8 <br> OFFICES

CALGARY | EDMONTON | MONTREAL | PHILADELPHIA QUEBEC CITY | TORONTO | VANCOUVER | WINNIPEG

## OUR CREDENTIALS
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ASSOCIATION
CMEMBER

Leger is a member of the Canadian Research Insights Council (CRIC), the industry association for the market/survey/insights research industry.

Leger is a member of ESOMAR (European Society for Opinion and Market Research), the global association of opinion polls and marketing research professionals. As such, Leger is committed to applying the international ICC/ESOMAR code of Market, Opinion and Social Research and Data Analytics.

Leger is also a member of the Insights Association, the American Association of Marketing Research Analytics.



[^0]:    CEO7: Do you think the recipients of equalization funding-the "Have Not" provinces-appreciate the financial help they receive from the wealthier "Have" provinces, or, do you think the Have Not provinces take equalization for granted as an automatic payment they are entitled to, being a province in Canada?

[^1]:    CEO8: Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: I feel some provincial governments are taking unfair advantage of the equalization system when making decisions in their province: Canadians ( $\mathrm{n}=1554$ )

[^2]:    

