## Leger

R E P ORT

## Pharmacare

Survey of Canadians

## Methodology



Method
Online survey
among respondents 18 years of age or older.
(Canadian sample: $\mathrm{n}=1,622$ ).
Respondents had the option of completing the survey in English or in French and were randomly recruited using LEO's online panel.


## When

December $15^{\text {th }}$ to
December 17 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2023$


## Margin of error

For comparison purposes, a probability sample of this size yields a margin of error no greater than $\pm 2.43 \%$, (19 times out of 20) for the Canadian sample.


## Weighting

Results were weighted according to age, gender, mother tongue, region, education and presence of children in the household in order to ensure a representative sample of the Canadian population.

## Leger

## Methodology



## Significant differences

Data in bold red characters indicate a significantly lower proportion than that of other respondents. Conversely, data in bold green characters indicate a significantly higher proportion than that of other respondents.


## Rounded data

The numbers presented have been rounded up. However, the numbers before rounding were used to calculate the sums presented and might therefore not correspond to the manual addition of these numbers.


## Questions

Have questions about the data presented in this report? Please contact Christian Bourque, Executive Vice-President, Eastern Canada at the following e-mail addresses: cbourque@leger360.com

A more detailed methodology is presented in the Appendix.

## Leger

## Methodology

Leger is the polling firm that has presented the most accurate election survey data, on average, over the last ten years in Canada. During the last federal election in 2021, Leger was once again the most accurate firm in the country. This accuracy is attributed to the quality of the LEO panel and rigorous application of methodological rules by Leger's 600 employees, including 200 professionals in Leger's eight offices across Canada (Montreal, Toronto, Quebec City, Winnipeg, Calgary, Edmonton and Vancouver) and in the United States (New York).

Poll aggregator 338Canada.com gave Leger the highest rating among all polling firms in Canada for the accuracy of its studies.
See https://338canada.com/pollster-ratings.htm

| 2021 CANADIAN federal election | \% | K | *NDP | $3$ | PPC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| leger survey <br> Published in Le Journal de Montréal and The National Post/Postmedia September 18, 2021 | 33\% | 32\% | 19\% | 7\% | 6\% | 2\% |
| OFFICIAL RESULTS <br> 2021 Canadian Federal Election* | 33.7\% | 32.6\% | 17.8\% | 7.7\% | 5.0\% | 2.3\% |

## Key Highlights

From December 15 to 17, 2023, we surveyed Canadians to find out about their opinions and preferences regarding a possible pharmacare program and healthcare spending.

## Some of the key highlights of our survey about pharmacare spending include...

- If the federal government had more funding, the top three priorities for Canadians would be to reduce surgical wait times ( $36 \%$ ), improve senior care including more long-term care homes (32\%), and expand mental health services (30\%).
- Half of Canadians (47\%) say they are familiar with the federal government's plan to introduce a universal plan that would cover the prescription drug expenses for all Canadians, also called pharmacare. In comparison, around the same proportion ( $53 \%$ ) says they are not familiar with pharmacare. Respondents living in British Columbia ( $63 \%$ ), males ( $55 \%$ ), and respondents aged $18-34$ years old ( $52 \%$ ) are more likely to be familiar with pharmacare.
- For most Canadians, the preferred approach for the new program is to have a program that will cover Canadians who are not currently covered through their employment and who have a total annual income of less than $\$ 90,000$ or are over 65 years old.
- $17 \%$ of Canadians think that implementing a new drug plan should not be a priority for the government. This proportion is higher among conservative voters (23\%).

REPORT

## Detailed <br> Results

## Priorities in Health Care Funding (1/2)

Q1. If the Federal government has additional funding to spend on health care, what should be the top 2 priorities for spending new money?
Base: All respondents ( $n=1,622$ )


## Priorities in Health Care Funding (2/2)

Q1. If the Federal government has additional funding to spend on health care, what should be the top 2 priorities for spending new money?
Base: All respondents

|  | Total Canada | ATL | QC | ON | MB/SK | AB | BC | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Urban | Sub- <br> Urban | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,622 | 110 | 377 | 633 | 105 | 175 | 222 | 789 | 833 | 424 | 526 | 673 | 722 | 608 | 285 |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,622 | 105 | 437 | 626 | 134 | 155 | 165 | 807 | 815 | 317 | 554 | 751 | 710 | 602 | 303 |
| Direct additional funding towards reducing surgical wait times | 36\% | 37\% | 34\% | 35\% | 36\% | 39\% | 35\% | 35\% | 37\% | 25\% | 37\% | 41\% | 31\% | 40\% | 40\% |
| Improve seniors care, including more long-term care homes | 32\% | 26\% | 28\% | 38\% | 25\% | 26\% | 30\% | 30\% | 33\% | 17\% | 26\% | 46\% | 28\% | 33\% | 36\% |
| Expand mental health services | 30\% | 28\% | 29\% | 32\% | 35\% | 25\% | 30\% | 24\% | 36\% | 38\% | 35\% | 22\% | 30\% | 30\% | 31\% |
| Increase health transfers to provinces | 20\% | 24\% | 33\% | 13\% | 31\% | 19\% | 14\% | 25\% | 15\% | 15\% | 18\% | 25\% | 20\% | 21\% | 20\% |
| Invest in targeting lower income and more vulnerable Canadians to lower health risks | 19\% | 20\% | 17\% | 19\% | 20\% | 18\% | 24\% | 19\% | 20\% | 27\% | 16\% | 18\% | 21\% | 19\% | 17\% |
| Creating a new universal, single payor drug coverage plan | 18\% | 19\% | 12\% | 18\% | 15\% | 25\% | 22\% | 21\% | 15\% | 22\% | 17\% | 16\% | 19\% | 18\% | 15\% |
| Invest in prevention, healthier life choices | 16\% | 19\% | 18\% | 15\% | 16\% | 12\% | 17\% | 17\% | 15\% | 17\% | 20\% | 12\% | 20\% | 14\% | 11\% |
| Other | 4\% | 7\% | 3\% | 5\% | 1\% | 3\% | 7\% | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% | 6\% | 3\% | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% |
| None of these | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 7\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| I don't know | 4\% | 6\% | 3\% | 6\% | 4\% | 4\% | 2\% | 3\% | 6\% | 6\% | 5\% | 3\% | 5\% | 3\% | 5\% |

## Priorities in Health Care Funding - By Voting Intentions

Q1. If the Federal government has additional funding to spend on health care, what should be the top 2 priorities for spending new money?
Base: All respondents

|  |  |  |  | *NDP |  |  | P) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Canada | CPC | LPC | NDP | BQ | GPC | PPC |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,622 | 504 | 370 | 234 | 90 | 68 | 24* |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,622 | 515 | 387 | 218 | 101 | 64 | 27* |
| Direct additional funding towards reducing surgical wait times | 36\% | 41\% | 38\% | 32\% | 34\% | 27\% | 21\% |
| Improve seniors care, including more long-term care homes | 32\% | 37\% | 31\% | 32\% | 27\% | 30\% | 27\% |
| Expand mental health services | 30\% | 26\% | 31\% | 39\% | 23\% | 30\% | 30\% |
| Increase health transfers to provinces | 20\% | 24\% | 21\% | 10\% | 51\% | 21\% | 16\% |
| Invest in targeting lower income and more vulnerable Canadians to lower health risks | 19\% | 19\% | 23\% | 23\% | 8\% | 23\% | 33\% |
| Creating a new universal, single payor drug coverage plan | 18\% | 15\% | 21\% | 30\% | 4\% | 17\% | 18\% |
| Invest in prevention, healthier life choices | 16\% | 16\% | 15\% | 17\% | 14\% | 25\% | 19\% |
| Other | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| None of these | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 2\% | 7\% |
| I don't know | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% |

## Knowledge of the Federal Government's Pharmacare (1/2)

Q2. How familiar are you with the possibility of the federal government introducing a new universal plan that would cover the prescription drug expenses for all Canadians, often called "pharmacare"?
Base: All respondents ( $n=1,622$ )


## Knowledge of the Federal Government's Pharmacare (2/2)


#### Abstract

Q2. How familiar are you with the possibility of the federal government introducing a new universal plan that would cover the prescription drug expenses for all Canadians, often called "pharmacare"? Base: All respondents


|  | Total Canada | ATL | QC | ON | MB/SK | AB | BC | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Urban | SubUrban | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,622 | 110 | 377 | 633 | 105 | 175 | 222 | 789 | 833 | 424 | 526 | 673 | 722 | 608 | 285 |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,622 | 105 | 437 | 626 | 134 | 155 | 165 | 807 | 815 | 317 | 554 | 751 | 710 | 602 | 303 |
| Total Familiar | 47\% | 51\% | 37\% | 45\% | 53\% | 51\% | 63\% | 55\% | 39\% | 52\% | 42\% | 47\% | 51\% | 46\% | 41\% |
| Very familiar | 9\% | 7\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 10\% | 12\% | 11\% | 6\% | 13\% | 8\% | 6\% | 11\% | 5\% | 9\% |
| Somewhat familiar | 38\% | 44\% | 29\% | 37\% | 45\% | 41\% | 51\% | 44\% | 33\% | 39\% | 34\% | 41\% | 39\% | 40\% | 32\% |
| Total Not Familiar | 53\% | 49\% | 63\% | 55\% | 47\% | 49\% | 37\% | 45\% | 61\% | 48\% | 58\% | 53\% | 49\% | 54\% | 59\% |
| Not very familiar | 37\% | 38\% | 45\% | 38\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 32\% | 42\% | 29\% | 41\% | 40\% | 35\% | 39\% | 39\% |
| Not at all familiar | 16\% | 11\% | 19\% | 17\% | 17\% | 19\% | 7\% | 13\% | 19\% | 19\% | 17\% | 13\% | 15\% | 15\% | 20\% |

## Knowledge of the Federal Government's Pharmacare - By Voting Intentions

Q2. How familiar are you with the possibility of the federal government introducing a new universal plan that would cover the prescription drug expenses for all Canadians, often called "pharmacare"?
Base: All respondents

|  |  |  |  | *NDP | 3 |  | *PC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Canada | CPC | LPC | NDP | BQ | GPC | PPC |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,622 | 504 | 370 | 234 | 90 | 68 | 24* |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,622 | 515 | 387 | 218 | 101 | 64 | 27* |
| Total Familiar | 47\% | 48\% | 56\% | 47\% | 39\% | 60\% | 63\% |
| Very familiar | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 6\% | 9\% | 13\% | 8\% |
| Somewhat familiar | 38\% | 38\% | 47\% | 42\% | 30\% | 48\% | 55\% |
| Total Not Familiar | 53\% | 52\% | 44\% | 53\% | 61\% | 40\% | 37\% |
| Not very familiar | 37\% | 37\% | 32\% | 40\% | 46\% | 30\% | 27\% |
| Not at all familiar | 16\% | 15\% | 12\% | 13\% | 15\% | 10\% | 9\% |

## Preferred Approach for the New Program (1/2)

- 

Q3. There are different approaches a new program to cover prescription drug costs could take. Below are a few of those options. Which one would you prefer the federal government implement?
Base: All respondents ( $n=1,622$ )

A new program for all Canadians who are not currently covered under a drug benefits plan through their employment AND who have a total annual income of less than $\$ 90,000$ or are over the age of 65 . Those covered by plans through
 their employment would continue unchanged.


## Preferred Approach for the New Program (2/2)

- 

Q3. There are different approaches a new program to cover prescription drug costs could take. Below are a few of those options. Which one would you prefer the federal government implement?
Base: All respondents

|  | Total <br> Canada | ATL | QC | ON | MB/SK | AB | BC | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Urban | Sub- <br> Urban | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,622 | 110 | 377 | 633 | 105 | 175 | 222 | 789 | 833 | 424 | 526 | 673 | 722 | 608 | 285 |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,622 | 105 | 437 | 626 | 134 | 155 | 165 | 807 | 815 | 317 | 554 | 751 | 710 | 602 | 303 |
| A new program for all Canadians who are not currently covered under a drug benefits plan through their employment AND who have a total annual income of less than $\$ 90,000$ or are over the age of 65 . Those covered by plans through their employment would continue unchanged. | 27\% | 29\% | 24\% | 27\% | 25\% | 22\% | 35\% | 27\% | 26\% | 20\% | 22\% | 35\% | 27\% | 25\% | 30\% |
| A new universal national program covering prescription drugs for all Canadians, which would replace any basic existing drug coverage currently received though one's employment. | 22\% | 23\% | 21\% | 20\% | 21\% | 30\% | 27\% | 24\% | 21\% | 27\% | 27\% | 16\% | 23\% | 22\% | 20\% |
| A new program for all Canadians who are not currently covered under a drug benefits plan through their employment. Those covered by plans through their employment would continue unchanged. | 22\% | 13\% | 21\% | 24\% | 23\% | 20\% | 22\% | 20\% | 23\% | 31\% | 22\% | 16\% | 23\% | 25\% | 13\% |
| A new program for all Canadians who are not currently covered under a drug benefits plan through a provincial program. Those covered by plans through their province would continue unchanged. | 12\% | 19\% | 14\% | 11\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 13\% | 10\% | 9\% | 7\% | 16\% | 11\% | 11\% | 13\% |
| None of these. A new drug plan should not be a priority for the government | 17\% | 16\% | 19\% | 18\% | 22\% | 19\% | 8\% | 15\% | 19\% | 13\% | 22\% | 16\% | 15\% | 16\% | 24\% |

## Preferred Approach for the New Program - By Voting Intentions

Q3. There are different approaches a new program to cover prescription drug costs could take. Below are a few of those options. Which one would you prefer the federal government implement?
Base: All respondents

|  |  |  |  | NPP | 0 |  | PC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Canada | CPC | LPC | NDP | BQ | GPC | PPC |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,622 | 504 | 370 | 234 | 90 | 68 | 24* |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,622 | 515 | 387 | 218 | 101 | 64 | 27* |
| A new program for all Canadians who are not currently covered under a drug benefits plan through their employment AND who have a total annual income of less than $\$ 90,000$ or are over the age of 65 . Those covered by plans through their employment would continue unchanged. | 27\% | 27\% | 30\% | 27\% | 17\% | 36\% | 30\% |
| A new universal national program covering prescription drugs for all Canadians, which would replace any basic existing drug coverage currently received though one's employment. | 22\% | 19\% | 26\% | 28\% | 19\% | 29\% | 14\% |
| A new program for all Canadians who are not currently covered under a drug benefits plan through their employment. Those covered by plans through their employment would continue unchanged. | 22\% | 20\% | 25\% | 25\% | 19\% | 23\% | 19\% |
| A new program for all Canadians who are not currently covered under a drug benefits plan through a provincial program. Those covered by plans through their province would continue unchanged. | 12\% | 11\% | 12\% | 12\% | 15\% | 5\% | 14\% |
| None of these. A new drug plan should not be a priority for the government | 17\% | 23\% | 6\% | 8\% | 30\% | 8\% | 22\% |

## Current Drug Coverage

Q4. Are your prescription drug costs currently covered, in whole or part, by a private or provincial insurance plan -either through you or a partner's employment or something paid for directly?
Base: All respondents ( $n=1,622$ )


6\%
$\square$ No
Don't know

- Prefer not to answer

|  | Total Canada | ATL | QC | ON | MB/SK | AB | BC | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Urban | Sub- <br> Urban | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,622 | 110 | 377 | 633 | 105 | 175 | 222 | 789 | 833 | 424 | 526 | 673 | 722 | 608 | 285 |
| Unweighted $\mathrm{n}=$ | 1,622 | 105 | 437 | 626 | 134 | 155 | 165 | 807 | 815 | 317 | 554 | 751 | 710 | 602 | 303 |
| Yes | 66\% | 73\% | 76\% | 60\% | 62\% | 77\% | 60\% | 68\% | 65\% | 51\% | 73\% | 72\% | 66\% | 66\% | 67\% |
| No | 25\% | 16\% | 20\% | 29\% | 27\% | 17\% | 36\% | 25\% | 25\% | 33\% | 21\% | 24\% | 26\% | 26\% | 24\% |
| Don't know | 6\% | 9\% | 4\% | 9\% | 9\% | 3\% | 3\% | 6\% | 7\% | 14\% | 5\% | 3\% | 6\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Prefer not to answer | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% |

## Weighted and Unweighted Sample (1/2)

The table below presents the Canadian geographic distribution of respondents before weighting.

|  | Unweighted | Weighted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Province | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 2}$ |
| British Columbia | 165 | 222 |
| Alberta | 155 | 175 |
| Manitoba/Saskatchewan | 134 | 105 |
| Ontario | 626 | 633 |
| Quebec | 437 | 377 |
| Atlantic | 105 | 110 |

## Weighted and Unweighted Sample (2/2)

The following tables present the demographic distribution of respondents according to gender, age and language (mother tongue) for Canada.

The table below presents the Canadian geographic distribution of respondents before weighting.

|  | Unweighted | Weighted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 2}$ |
| Male | 807 | $\mathbf{7 8 9}$ |
| Female | 815 | 833 |
| Age | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 2}$ |
| 18 to 34 | 317 | 424 |
| 35 to 54 | 554 | 526 |
| 55+ | 751 | 673 |
| Language (Mother tongue) | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 2}$ |
| English | 1,030 | 1,095 |
| French | 389 | 322 |
| Other | 203 | 204 |

## Our Services

- Leger

Marketing research and polling

- Customer Experience (CX) Strategic and operational customer experience consulting services
- Leger Analytics (LEA) Data modelling and analysis
- Leger Opinion (LEO) Panel management
- Leger Communities Online community management
- Leger Digital

Digital strategy and user experience

- International Research Worldwide Independent Network (WIN)


## 600 EMPLOYEES

 185

CONSULTANTS


MONTREAL | QUEBEC CITY | TORONTO | WINNIPEG EDMONTON | CALGARY | VANCOUVER | NEW YORK

## Leger

## Our Commitments to Quality

CANADIAN RESEARCH INSIGHTS COUNCIL
LE CONSELIDE RECHERCHE MARKEING CANADIEN

Leger is a member of the Canadian Research Insights Council (CRIC), the industry association for the market/survey/insights research industry.

Leger is a member of ESOMAR (European Society for Opinion and Market Research), the global association of opinion polls and marketing research professionals. As such, Leger is committed to applying the international ICC/ESOMAR code of Market, Opinion and Social Research and Data Analytics.

Leger is also a member of the Insights Association, the American Association of Marketing Research Analytics.

Leger is a sponsor of CAIP Canada, Canada's professional body for Certified Analytics and Insights Professionals who uphold CRIC's marketing research and public opinion research standards. CAIP Canada is globally endorsed by ESOMAR and the MRII/University of Georgia.

